Making Common Understanding Common

Using Clear, Task-Adequate Specifications

David Gelperin
ClearSpecs Enterprises
dave@clearspecs.com

Task-adequate Reqts.

- Task-adequate means that consuming stakeholders (estimators, architects, developers, testers) are able to use the requirements information to perform their assigned tasks without having to cope with defects (imprecision, incompleteness, or inconsistency) in the information.
- Task-inadequate requirements lead to uncoordinated coping behavior by consuming stakeholders and inevitable misunderstandings.

Sooner or Later

Precision *always* happens (code & tests)

The issue is not if but when it first appears, who provides it, and when it can be validated

Goals of Detailing

To provide "just enough" details in "just the right" places to minimize the risk of critical misunderstandings
i.e., good specs require good

The Pareto Principle applied to requirements: 80% of the misunderstandings are caused by 20% of the requirements

judgment

The value of precise specification is *directly* proportional to its difficulty i.e., not pain, no gain.

Palette of 18 Specification Patterns



A. Overview

- 1. Background
- 2. Features

B. Usage Models

- 1. User Stories
- 2. Precise Use Cases
- 3. Precise Scenarios
- 4. Acceptance Test Specs

C. Behavior Models

- 1. Decision Tables
- 2. State Transition Tables

D. Facts

- 1. Constant Conditions
- 2. Condition Dependencies

E. Derivations

- 1. Derived Values
- 2. Derived Conditions
- 3. Derived Actions

F. Definitions

- 1. Entity Specs
- 2. Action Contracts
- 3. Quality Specs
- 4. Common Descriptions
- 5. Acronyms

E1. Derived Values

Unconditional derivations

- formula
- data set (aggregate) function
 Conditional derivations
 - value/formula logic table

```
Weekly income = yearly income / 52
```

```
After sale days = 
current date - sale date
```

```
Total of orders for

salesperson-id in year-id =

SUM OF values

FROM orders

WHERE

(sales contact = salesperson-id)

AND (year = year-id)
```

Value Logic Table

state	city	tax-rate
AZ		.025
MN	Edina	.038

Sales-tax-rate for

city-id in state-id = tax-rate

FROM sales-tax-rate-table

WHERE

(state = state-id)

AND (city = city-id OR blank)

Derived values may appear in output entity values, derived conditions, action contracts, and other derived values

E2. Derived Conditions

Derived conditions name collections of logical expressions joined by *AND*s and *OR*s

```
potential customer =
 bought-many-services or
 bought-services-A-and-B or
 bought-a-lot-of-service-C
bought-many-services =
 total-invoiced-service-types > 5
bought-services-A-and-B =
 invoiced-for-service-A
 and invoiced-for-service-B
bought-a-lot-of-service-C =
 invoiced-amount-for-service-C
 > $500,000.00
```

Trigger Events

Derived conditions can also define trigger events:

platform failure =
 power failure
 or hardware failure
 or communication failure
 or system software failure

water too high =
level above 100 meters
for 4 seconds

Derived Condition Table

subject	predicate set	1	2	3	4
PO age	integer ranges	≥ 25	< 25 ≥ 21	24 or 23	< 24
PO sex	[M, F]	-	М	М	F
PO marital status	[M, S]	-	М	S	-
Annual business distance	integer ranges	> 4800 km	-	-	-
Operator class		07	09	13	13

PO = primary operator of a vehicle

What Does This Mean?

A data mining requirement:

Accurately identify potential customers

F1. Entity Specs

- Internal entities
 - domaine.g., order, customer
 - systeme.g., user
- Input entitiese.g., reservation locator
- Output entitiese.g., logs, messages, reports

Internal Entity – System Reqt.

System Requirement attributes

Allocation to system version

RD effort

Identifier & Name Description Domain & Subdomain (e.g., quality: performance) Prerequisite & Corequisite requirements Groups (i.e., key words) Sources (stakeholder name & role, reference system, organizational tasks & procedures, standard or guideline, legal reference, parental requirements) Application priority (need, want, preference) Security level Assumptions & Reasons Parts of the verification strategy (analysis, review, test – links to test specs, measurement – links to quality specs) Implementation effort (high, medium, low) Implementation priority (high, medium, low) Implementation risk (high, medium, low) Owner Author Spec version Status (developing, submitted, rejected, current, allocated, replaced) Issues Comments Modification dates

Input Entity – Res Locator

res confirmation #

or

- flight date
- departure airport
- flight # or destination city
- frequent flyer # or passenger name

F2. Action Contracts

The **meaning** of a function can be defined by the consequences of both valid and invalid *requests* for service.

What conditions are TRUE after the request that were not TRUE before? What conditions characterize the request?

Action contracts define the meaning (i.e., consequences) of a function or process using post, pre, during, and constant conditions

Process Conditions

Constant condition

A condition that is TRUE at the beginning, during, and after a correct process i.e. its truth value does not change

Pre-condition

A condition that must or may be TRUE at the beginning of the process, but may become FALSE during the process

Post-condition

A condition that is TRUE after a correct process, but is FALSE or undefined at the beginning of the process

During condition

A condition that becomes TRUE during the process, but is FALSE or undefined at the beginning of the process. The condition may have any truth value after the process. [A during condition that is TRUE after the process is a post-condition.]

Example of Meaning

Consider a table manager TM that inserts entries into a table of bounded capacity. Each entry has an associated key that must be a non-empty string and unique in the table.

Question: If TM executes correctly when given an entry e having a unique key e-key, what conditions must be TRUE following execution i.e., what does it mean for TM to execute correctly?

Answers: Entry (e-key) = e

& end count = start count + 1

& length e-key > 0

 $\& 0 \leq \text{count} \leq \text{capacity}$

Some Conditions

For table manager TM, a successful insertion could be described as:

Pre-conditions

start count < capacity

Post-conditions

Entry (e-key) = e

& end count = start count + 1

Constant conditions

length e-key > 0

 $\& 0 \le count \le capacity$

Action Contract -- Example

Inventory Control Functions (pre & post conditions for a set of actions)

Order Quantity	On Hand Quantity	On Hand vs. Order	Post-Conditions
Invalid			Invalid quantity reported
Valid	Out	[Short]	Backorder created
Valid	In	Short	Backorder created & Partial order ticket created
Valid	In	Enough	Full order ticket created

F3. Quality Specs

Detail the measurement of quality attributes for both

- 1. the entire system e.g. safety or performance and
- the system functions e.g. accurately identify or easily create.

Based on Tom Gilb's Planguage specs

Assessing Quality Attributes

Identify

- 1. a quality attribute,
- 2. a measure of that attribute,
- 3. an associated **process** for measurement, and
- 4. a method for interpreting the results such as division of results into ranges to define satisfactory and unsatisfactory outcomes.

Quality Spec example

ID	QS-1.1
ATTRIBUTE	Learnability – ease of learning to use the system effectively
MEASURE	Time (in minutes) required by novice subjects (with no prior exposure to our website and less than 6 months experience with web applications) to successfully complete a 1-item order (assisted only by the online help system)
METHOD	Time at least 100 novice subjects during user interface testing
MUST	Less than 10 minutes for at least 80% of the novice subjects
GOAL	Less than 7 minutes for at least 80% of the novice subjects
Stretch	Less than 5 minutes for at least 80% of the novice subjects
Past [current system]	11 minutes for 80% of all users ← recent site statistics

The Hard Part

Identifying measures for ambiguous concepts, for example:

"The system should be fronted by an efficiently navigable, imaginatively designed, attractively laid out and secure web site that ..."

Try to:

- 1. Answer the question: How will we know when we succeed?
- Collect examples and counterexamples and find patterns

Many Patterns of Definition

Accurately identify potential customers

(adverb) (verb) (adjective) (noun)

Entity Spec

Derived Condition

Action Contracts

Quality Specs

Palette of 18 Specification Patterns



A. Overview

- 1. Background
- 2. Features

B. Usage Models

- 1. User Stories
- 2. Precise Use Cases
- 3. Precise Scenarios
- 4. Acceptance Test Specs

C. Behavior Models

- 1. Decision Tables
- 2. State Transition Tables

D. Facts

- 1. Constant Conditions
- 2. Condition Dependencies

E. Derivations

- 1. Derived Values
- 2. Derived Conditions
- 3. Derived Actions

F. Definitions

- 1. Entity Specs
- 2. Action Contracts
- 3. Quality Specs
- 4. Common Descriptions
- 5. Acronyms

Detailing is Powerful

The spec is nothing Specing is everything

paraphrasing Eisenhower

ClearSpecing drives insight

Collaborative detailing causes much greater common understanding than individual authoring and review of natural language text

References

Cockburn, Alistair Writing Effective Use Cases Addison-Wesley 2001

Gelperin, David various technical papers available at www.clearspecs.com

Ross-Larson, Bruce **Edit Yourself** W. W. Norton 1996

Simmons, Eric "Quantifying Quality Requirements Using Planguage"
Quality Week 2001 available at www.clearspecs.com